Pleading Perfect
1706 Chester Ave., Suite 360C
Bakersfield, CA 93301
Royal Legal (769-255-3425)

[DATE REDACTED]

[CLIENT NAME REDACTED]
[CLIENT ADDRESS REDACTED]
[CITY, STATE, ZIP REDACTED]

Re: [CASE NAME REDACTED] document packet

Dear [CLIENT NAME REDACTED],

We looked through the documents you sent us. A few items appear worth checking before anything is filed or signed, so we listed them below in the order that may be most helpful.

Here are the items that may be worth checking before the documents are filed or signed:

1. Two different versions of the proposed packet appear in the materials: one includes a five-page stipulation dated [DATE REDACTED], and another includes a six-page stipulation dated [DATE REDACTED]. Several amounts and descriptions differ between the versions. Please check which version is intended to be the final filing copy and remove the superseded version from the filing or signature packet.

2. The [DATE REDACTED] stipulation labels itself “Page 1 of 8” through “Page 6 of 8,” but only six stipulation pages appear in that version. This could create a missing-page question. Please check whether pages 7 and 8 are missing or whether the page totals should be changed to six.

3. On the proposed FL-180 Judgment, page 2 states that seven pages are attached. The related [DATE REDACTED] stipulation appears to contain six pages. Please check whether another attachment page is intended or whether the attachment count should be revised.

4. The first page of the [DATE REDACTED] stipulation appears to show an unclear or malformed date near the top, while the following pages show [DATE REDACTED]. Replacing the unclear date with the same complete date used on the other pages may make the document easier to process.

5. The acquisition date for [PROPERTY ADDRESS REDACTED] appears as approximately [DATE REDACTED] on the amended FL-160, but the stipulation describes the property as acquired in [DATE REDACTED]. Please check which year is intended and make the repeated property information consistent.

6. The amended FL-160 appears to total the [CLIENT PARTY]’s proposed asset awards as [AMOUNT REDACTED] on page 2. The listed [CLIENT PARTY] awards appear to add to approximately [AMOUNT REDACTED], which is a difference of about [AMOUNT REDACTED]. Please recheck the total before the form is signed or used.

7. The amended FL-160 lists total assets of [AMOUNT REDACTED], while the individual listed values appear to total approximately [AMOUNT REDACTED]. The difference may come

partly from rounding the [AMOUNT REDACTED] [FINANCIAL INSTITUTION REDACTED] account to two awards of [AMOUNT REDACTED]. Please check the asset total and the proposed division figures so the columns reconcile.

8. The stipulation also divides the [AMOUNT REDACTED] [FINANCIAL INSTITUTION REDACTED] account into two [AMOUNT REDACTED] shares, which totals [AMOUNT REDACTED] and leaves [AMOUNT REDACTED] unallocated. Please check whether one party's amount should include the remaining ninety-nine cents.

9. The stipulation describes the [BUSINESS NAME REDACTED] as worth [AMOUNT REDACTED] and also describes a [AMOUNT REDACTED] restoration deduction from the [OTHER PARTY]'s share of the residence. The stated residence awards of [AMOUNT REDACTED] and [AMOUNT REDACTED] appear to reflect a combined [AMOUNT REDACTED] adjustment. The wording may be clearer if the calculation and whether either amount is counted elsewhere are stated consistently.

10. The [DATE REDACTED] stipulation describes [OTHER PARTY NAME REDACTED] v. [ENTITY REDACTED] as acquired in [DATE REDACTED] with an estimated value of [AMOUNT REDACTED]. An earlier packet describes that item as acquired in [DATE REDACTED], and the [DATE REDACTED] amended FL-160 appears to list the business rather than the [ENTITY REDACTED] item in the related asset area. Please check the intended date, value, and whether the [ENTITY REDACTED] item should appear on the final property form.

11. The FL-141 in the [CLIENT PARTY]'s packet appears to identify the [CLIENT PARTY]'s preliminary disclosure in item 2, but item 4 appears to refer to service of the [OTHER PARTY]'s preliminary disclosure. Please check the party selection in item 4 so it matches the disclosure being described.

12. The FL-140 and FL-141 prepared for [OTHER PARTY NAME REDACTED] appear to contain conflicting party selections in several checkbox areas, including whether the declaration is [CLIENT PARTY]'s or [OTHER PARTY]'s and whose disclosure was served. Please check each selected box against the printed name at the top of the form.

13. The [CLIENT PARTY]'s FL-150 states that she is self-employed, also gives [DATE REDACTED] as the date the job ended, and reports no current employment income. These entries may be intentional, but the combination could create a clarification question. Please check whether the current employment description and end date should be presented differently.

14. The declaration regarding third-party preparation lists nine documents, but the packet also includes an FL-130 Appearance, Stipulations, and Waivers form. Please check whether the document list is intended to include the FL-130.

15. The declaration regarding third-party preparation uses the title "Declaration Regarding Inpropria Persona Filing/Pleading Filed by Third Party." The wording "Inpropria" appears unusual, and the sentence stating that the compensation "were [AMOUNT REDACTED] fees" may need a grammar correction. Please check the intended title and revise the compensation sentence for clarity.

16. The proof of service on FL-115 page 2 appears to leave the case-number box blank even though the case number appears on page 1. Adding the same case number to page 2 may help keep the pages connected if they are separated.

17. The [CLIENT PARTY]’s address appears as both “[CLIENT ADDRESS REDACTED]” and “[PROPERTY ADDRESS REDACTED]” in the packet. The two forms may refer to the same address, but using one consistent street presentation may reduce confusion.

18. Several documents intended for signature appear to have blank signature and date lines, including the FL-130, FL-140, FL-141, FL-144, FL-160, FL-170, FL-165, stipulation, and related declarations. These may be intentional draft blanks. Please make sure each intended signer signs and dates the correct final version and that no earlier draft is signed by mistake.

19. The stipulation contains drafting placeholders such as “XX/XX” in acquisition dates. These may be intentional when an exact date is unknown, but they may look like unfinished drafting notes. Please check whether the placeholders should remain, be replaced with the available month and year, or be stated another way before filing.

20. The wording in the spousal-support section refers to the [OTHER PARTY] reopening “their” business and uses both “re-opened” and “established” as timing points for payments. The packet may be clearer if the pronoun and the payment-start description are made consistent.

21. The FL-190 Notice of Entry of Judgment is largely blank, including the judgment-entry date and mailing certification. That may be appropriate for a document to be completed after judgment, but please keep it separate from documents that are ready for immediate signature or filing so it is not mistaken for a completed notice.

Pleading Perfect is happy to help you make these corrections, or you may make the corrections yourself. We can also efile the documents for you, or you may efile them directly at EFileSystem.com. Please call us at Royal Legal (769-255-3425) if you would like our help.

Sincerely,

Pamela Perez
Pleading Perfect

P.S. I thought a checklist might help you, so I've added one at no extra charge.

[CASE NAME REDACTED] - Checklist

☐ Check which proposed packet is intended as the final version and remove the superseded copy.

☐ Check whether stipulation pages 7 and 8 are missing or change the stated page totals to six.

☐ Check whether the FL-180 attachment count should be six or whether another attachment page is intended.

☐ Replace or correct the unclear date on the first page of the [DATE REDACTED] stipulation.

☐ Check whether the residence acquisition year should be 2004 or 2024 and make it consistent.

☐ Recalculate the [CLIENT PARTY]'s proposed asset-award total on the amended FL-160.

☐ Recheck the amended FL-160 total asset value and make the column totals reconcile.

☐ Allocate or explain the remaining [AMOUNT REDACTED] in the [FINANCIAL INSTITUTION REDACTED] account division.

☐ Clarify the [AMOUNT REDACTED] business value, [AMOUNT REDACTED] restoration deduction, and residence-award calculation.

☐ Check the date, value, and property-form treatment of [OTHER PARTY NAME REDACTED] v. [ENTITY REDACTED].

☐ Check the party selection in item 4 of the [CLIENT PARTY]'s FL-141.

☐ Check the [CLIENT PARTY]/[OTHER PARTY] selections throughout [OTHER PARTY NAME REDACTED]'s FL-140 and FL-141.

☐ Check whether the [CLIENT PARTY]'s employment status, job-end date, and zero income entries should be clarified.

☐ Check whether the FL-130 should be added to the third-party-preparation document list.

☐ Check the third-party declaration title and revise the compensation sentence for grammar.

☐ Add the case number to page 2 of the FL-115 if that page is intended to remain with the packet.

☐ Use one consistent version of the [STREET NAME REDACTED] street address.

☐ Confirm that every intended signer signs and dates the correct final version.

☐ Replace or confirm the "XX/XX" date placeholders in the stipulation.

☐ Make the pronoun and payment-start wording consistent in the spousal-support section.

☐ Keep the incomplete FL-190 separate until its remaining entries are intended to be completed.

Please note: Pleading Perfect is not a law firm, and our team members are not attorneys. This review is not legal advice. We looked only for apparent formatting, spelling, grammar, and consistency issues in the documents. We did not evaluate the legal claims, defenses, strategy, or whether the documents state or rely on the correct legal theories. Questions about legal rights, legal deadlines, legal procedures, or the legal effect of any document should be directed to a licensed attorney.